

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/817,549	04/02/2004	Ron Waksman	0341-0030.42	2544
75	590 05/06/2005		EXAM	INER
Mark J. Murphy			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/817,549	WAKSMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommon of the provisions of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than the period for reply will, by state the period for the period by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	rply be timely filed r (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	February 2005.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 29-32 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>29-32</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) \square objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Attachment(s)	,	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
2)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/817,549

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishiwara et al. (USPN 5,106,360).

Ishiwara et al. discloses a catheter comprising, a first tube having a lumen closed at its distal end and sized to receive the treating element, a second tube in parallel relation to the first tube and having a lumen open at its distal end and sized to receive a guidewire, and a third tube for receiving first and second tube and having a fluid return lumen in fluid communication with the lumen of the first elongated tube and wherein the third tube is closed (See Figure 1, 512, 14, 15 and entire reference).

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/817,549

Art Unit: 3763

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 29-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 29-35, 37, 42-44, 47-49 of copending Application No. 09/468,496 of copending Application No. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications claim three tubes and three lumens as well as the specific configuration that is being claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. The double patenting rejection has been withdrawn, but a provisional double patenting rejections still stands.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/817,549

Art Unit: 3763

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763 May 2, 2005

SUPERVISORY PAYENT EXAMENER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700